10 0 18 "I RESIGN FROM THE Y.C.L."

es (104)

TO THE PRESIDENT AND MEMBERS OF THE YOUNG COMMUNIST LEAGUE (CCNY BRANCH)

This is a brief stalement of the why and wherefore of my resignation from the YCL and my intention to join the YPSL (Feurth International)

Most of you know me personally-not a "great revolutionist" or "brilliant intellectual" but simply an average socially concious student who Hoined the YCL in search of a botter world to lime in. I joined because I felt that the Communist Party was the only organization with a fighting chance to change this system of saciety - because I felt that only in the CP (and allied groups) was there combined that sincerity, that singleness of purpose, and that theoretical correctness, which could and must kead to victory -- because I felt that the CP was the only organization where theoretical problems of a political nature, non matter of what sort, where settled by honest, sincere discussion with decision determined by the majority and not by means of lies, of slanders, and of the rifle, I no Tonger believe any of these things to be true. That is why I am leaving,

I jeined the League about three years age. That was the time the party was in the threes of an about face from social-fascism to the Popular Front. Soon after I began to feel qualms about the centinual talk of "defending democracy" of "defeating fascism", and " preserving bourgesis democracy" -- everything, in short, except building socialism. I buried these misgivings, however, the way ninety per cent of the thinking membership buried them-namely, by saying to myself, "This talk of preserving democracy is a mere strategem useful for propaganda purposes". The leaders of the party know what they're doing, they know what hokum this "defending democracy is. It's just clever politics, that's all; During three years I have slowly come to the realization that party members have been shouting "defend democracy" so long that they are actually coming to believe it themselves.

What an anomaly! The spectacle of the CP defending "bourgeois democracy", i.e. a state entirely controlled by the bourgeoisie and which the bourgeeisie is perfectly willing to tolerate as long as it "works" and does not encreach on their interests is enough to keep Comrade Lenin writhing in his temb. And what is even a bigger joker, albeit a more tragic ene, is the fact that when this democracy does collapse, the bourgeoisie uses the very antagonism of the working and middle classes to it to crush them still further i.e. fascism. Who can deny that H itler's road to power was paved in a large measure by workers who felt that the "democracy" of the Weimer Republic had given them only unemployment and starvation, and that anything was better than this model "demogracy". Still I am told that the German Or uses as the basis for its underground propaganda the idea of a "return to Weimer", another "democratic republic". Put Y ourself in the place of a German worker. You are offered a return to Weimar-with its inflation period, its Versailles Treaty, its confiscatory taxes, its reak-bottom scale wages, unemployment, and yes, its Storm Troppers and its Hitler -- for that is where all Weimars must lead. Would you try it?

I buried my misgivings on the Popular Front with that old saying, "the procfof the pudding....." and ebserved the Popular Front in practice in France where it has most chance to succeed. Do you recall the wild enthusiasm of all the liberal people when the Socialists and Communists wen such a sweeping victory in France, and when Leon B lum took effice with their support and that of the Radical Socials ists? De you recell all the talk of a "bloadless rewellution" and your own sneaking feeling that perhaps a shift in class power without a civil war sould be accomplished, do you recall how hundreds of thousands of Paris was here joured out of the factories and marched thru the streets with clenched fists ready to smash the fascists ferever? Then do you recall the slow disullusionment of the

fall wing marths, the insignid social legislation, the 20% wage rises followed by 30 and 40% price rises, the sleansing of the army and ravy of fascists (removal ef the or two prominent cutspaken strogers), discrming of the fareist forger (raids ing of one of De De Remana's clubs and confiscating the result and the hundeed and one other shad mesbering concrivances "to deal a death-offer to resolve", And then these minor failures culminating in the greatest becrayal a collectoff and the mid Spain by the French Papular Front pleased the Facoust well- aiding France which one hand and checking the indignation of the French warteers and this between with the other hand. And how long do you th ink the French Popular Front will Last with France in power? Already the Pondulum has started to swing thanks Trettering the Socialist Blum, today the Radical Socialist Chautemps, tomorrow the sentrist Level, and finally the fascist De Le Rooque or Deriate But it will always be the Bourg ecisie in omtrel. The Popular Frant is only famey window drossing.

I word some of my misgivings on these and other questions mildly. Frankly I was a little bit afraid. Everytime I spened my mouth I heard the tourns "Fretsky ism" sr "Livestoneism" or "Counter Revalutionism" flung around with such abandon that I sametimes thought there must be something in hegently indecent in me to have such vile doubts. And then I saw Socialism undeniably being built in the Soviet Union at that time and since the Soviet Union supported the CP I felt that despite its mistakes the CP was the only possible builder of world socialisms

But during this past year, especially this summer, events occured in the Soviet Union which have finally convinced me that the only role that the Comintern can play in the working class movement today will be that of the tembstone of world revelution. The first Moscow Trials left me skeptical. The second more so. Indoed I know very few Communists who have not had some misgivings with regard to their veracity. But the mass slaughters during the summer convinced me that a gigantic Stalinist bureaucracy is growing in the USSR and there is a growing revslutionary, not counter-revolutionary opposition to this bureaucracy. Stalin has said "The final victory of socialism has been achieved". Tell me, what is there about socialism -- that glerious new society that is to wplift and enneble the human character, that causes men who have given the best ye ars of their lives in struggling for it, who have wen the battle, whese telents have been rewarded with the highest possible positions -- what is there about socialism which causes men to turn fascist, plot the destruction of their country and follow comrades? What reward could have possibly induced Tuckachevsky, military here of the entire USSR, and the seven other highest generals-all Civil War heroes-to sell their country to Japan and Germany? What could have induced Radek, Bukharin, Tomsky, Zinoviov, Kamenev, in short all of Lenin's Central Committee except Stalin to have turned fascist? And hundreds more are admittedly being shot by the Seviet government on similar charges. And why is Bela Kun, leader of the Hungarian insurrection of 1919 held in prisin on charges of fascist plotting? Truly, soviet socialism must be a herrible mess if it breeds so many fascists. What would you think if it were said that the Vice-President of the U.S. and the whole cabinet were Japanese spies? And that half of the governors were imprisoned and shet on similar charges? And that the army and navy heads were Japanese agents?

B riefly then, the choice before me is this: I can either abanden all faith in Socialism as a vice-ridden, corrupt, criminal producing, fear-maintained and unworkable nightmare, or abandon the idea that Stalinism can be synonymous with Soco ialism, I prefer to do the latter.

To fight for Socialism, to combine sincerity, singleness of purpose, theoretical and practical correctness of policy, and internal democracy, all the necessary qualifications of a truly revolutionary party, I have decided to join the Young People's Socialist League, the Fourth Intermationalists.

Comradely yours,